UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE	SPECIALTY (INSPECTI DIVISIO	ON OF	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE	
				AUDIT	TID:	1234
AUDIT DATE:	HANDLER:	CONTACT P	PERSON:	E-MAIL:		
AUDITOR:	ESCO	RT:			DIT SCOPE:	
				Red	MA General quirements/ rification Una	
GROWER:	HARVESTER:	CREW:	RANCH:	BLOCK:		ODITY & STYLE:
GROWER SANIT	ATION SERVICE:	HARVES	STER SANITATI	ON SERVICE:		
COOLER:						
	based on the Commod is dated August 20, 202		-			
					DATE:	
AUDITOR SIGNA	IURE:				DATE:	
Signature on File						
REVIEWER SIGN	ATURE:					

	Audit #	1234
	Unannounced Observational Audit Checklist	
General Requir	rements	
UA_GR 01	Is there a traceability process in place?	
UA_GR 02	Are there visitor policies/procedures in place?	
Water Use		
UA_WU01	From visual inspection, is there evidence that the water sources and distribution systems may pose a contamination risk (damage, inadequately maintained, evidence of animal activity, connection with effluent systems)?	
UA_WU02	If the water is reused (multi-pass), is sufficient disinfection added and monitored at routine intervals to prevent possible cross-contamination? (e.g. Chlorine-more than 1ppm free chlorine and pH 5.5-7.5 or other approved treatment per product EPA label for human pathogen reduction in water)?	
UA_WU03	If disinfectant is used during re-hydration or product coring in the field (single-pass) does the water have breakpoint disinfectant present at point of entry and does the operation monitor at routine intervals for disinfectant levels?	
UA_WU03a	Are instruments or controls used to measure, regulate, or record temperature, hydrogen ion concentration, pH, sanitizer concentration or other conditions?	
UA_WU03b	Are instruments or controls accurate and precise as necessary and appropriate for their intended use?	
UA_WU03c	Are instruments or controls adequately maintained?	
UA_WU03d	Are instruments or controls adequate in number for their intended use?	
UA_WU04	Are there any other observations of improper water use?	
	·	
Soil Amendme		
UA_SA 01	Is there evidence of improperly applied soil amendments? Page 2 of 7	

	California LGIVIA Unnanounced Checklist Revised 1/27/2021	
	Audit #	1234
UA_SA 02	Is there evidence of improperly stored soil amendments?	
UA_5A U2		
UA_SA 03	Is there evident of undocumented use of soil amendments?	
UA_SA 04	Are there any other observations of improper use of soil amendments?	
Environmen		
UA_ EA 01	Is there evidence of fecal contamination in the production area?	
UA_ EA 02	Is there evidence of animal intrusion or potential risk of intrusion in the production area?	
UA_ EA 03	Is there evidence of non-compliance with distances as outlined in the Metrics addressing Environmental Assessments?	
UA_ EA 04	Is there evidence that remedial actions such as animal barriers (fences, gates, grates, etc.) are not in good repair and operational?	
UA_ EA 05	Are there any other observations of environmental risk factors?	
Worker Prac	tices Are employees observed eating, drinking (except water), chewing tobacco or smoking in crop production or actively harvested areas?	
UA_WP 02	Are all employees observed to have washed their hands after restroom usage, work breaks, or returning to work on any occasion?	
UA_WP 03	Is there evidence that worker hygiene rules have been violated?	
UA_WP 04	Is there evidence that workers are not using restrooms?	

	Audit #	1234
		1254
JA_WP 05	Is there evidence that sanitary facilities are not routinely clean and operational?	
JA_WP 06	Is there evidence that sanitary facilities are not adequately stocked with disposable supplies?	
<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
JA_WP 07	Are improperly stored personal items observed in the field?	
JA_WP 08	Is there evidence that workers practices for further processing have been violated?	
JA_WP 09	Were any employees observed with uncovered wounds, boils or cuts?	
JA_VVP 09		
JA_WP 10	Were employees observed with symptoms of infectious or contagious disease?	
JA_WP 11	Are there any other observations of improper worker practices?	
JA_WP 12	Is a specific individual assigned the food safety responsibility for harvesting?	
JA_FS 01	ion - Field and Harvest Activities SOP's Is there evidence of cross-contamination potential of product and/or product contact surfaces?	
JA_FS 02	Is there evidence of potential cross-contamination of product (i.e. cut surface of product and contact with the ground/soil)?	
JA_FS 03	Is there evidence of the use of non-sanitized farm equipment that may have come in contact with raw manure, untreated compost, waters of unknown quality, wildlife or domestic animals?	
JA_FS 04	Is there evidence of potential cross-contamination of equipment or tools with food contact surfaces?	
JA_FS 05	Is there evidence of potential cross-contamination of containers and packing materials?	

	Audit #	1234
UA_FS 06	Is there evidence of excessive non-vegetative debris in the field?	
	tion - Daily Harvest Assessment Is a documented daily food safety harvest assessment available for review?	
UA_FS 07		
UA_FS 08	Is the assessment dated?	
UA_FS 09	Is the individual who conducted the assessment identified?	
UA_FS 10	Is the harvester name and contact information documented?	
UA_FS 11	Are the specific growing blocks associated with the assessment identified?	
UA_FS 12	Did the assessment indicate that the production area was free from evidence of animal intrusion?	
	If the area was not free of animal intrusion, was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion	
UA_FS 13	assessed by Food Safety Professional or Food Safety Personnel?	
UA_FS 13	Was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion assessed as a "Low Hazard"?	
UA_FS 13	If Yes, were corrective actions carried out according to company SOP?	
	If the area was not free of animal intrusion, was the animal hazard or potential risk of intrusion	
JA_FS 14	assessed as a "Medium/High Hazard"?	
UA_FS 14	If yes, Were corrective actions carried out per the LGMA requirements? a Page 5 of 7	

	Audit #	1234
		-
UA_FS 14b	If Yes, is documentation available to show that actions were implemented?	
UA_FS 15	Did the daily harvest assessment address changes in weather condition or weather events (e.g. severe wind, hail, freeze, excessive rain, or consecutive weather events) since the last assessment? If the assessment indicates the production area had a change in weather condition or a weather event occurred during the production period are the following addressed:	
UA_FS 15a	The potential impact on the crop or operations?	
UA_FS 15b	If the crop or operations were impacted were corrective actions carried out according to Company SOP?	
ield Sanitatio	on - Harvest Equipment, Packing Materials and Buildings	
UA_FS 16	Did the Daily inspection of harvest equipment and tools that was completed prior to beginning harvest address cleaning and sanitation or change in conditions since prior sanitation?	
	Did the inspection indicate the equipment did need to be rinsed and sanitized prior to beginning	
UA_FS 16a	daily harvest?	
UA_FS 16b	If yes, was the equipment rinsed and sanitized prior to beginning daily harvest?	
JA_FS 17	Are all chemical storage containers labeled appropriately?	
UA_FS 18	Is there evidence of improper storage of chemicals in the field?	
UA_FS 19	Are containers used only as intended?	
UA_FS 20	Is there evidence that equipment, tools, tanks, and containers are not maintained and/or clean?	
UA_I 3 20		
	Page 6 of 7	

	Audit #	1234
UA_FS 21	Is there evidence of leaks and spills on equipment in the production area?	
UA_FS 22	Is there other evidence of improper field sanitation?	
Transportati	on	
UA_TR 01	Are equipment, shipping units and/or shipping containers used to transport leafy greens on the farm or from the farm to a cooling, packing, or processing facility checked for cleanliness before being utilized?	
Additoinal C	omments	